**State Energy Policy Simulator (EPS) Documentation**

## Estimating Economy-wide Emissions in the 2018 Business as Usual (BAU) Scenario

The US State Energy Policy Simulators (EPS) account for emissions produced in the following sectors: electricity generation, building energy consumption, industrial energy consumption, industrial process emissions, agriculture process emissions, land use change, and transportation.

Our primary national data sources are federal data sets from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Energy Information Administration (EIA), and the National Renewable Energy Lab (NREL). We supplemented with state data from the inventory and Pathways report by Center for the New Energy Economy at Colorado State University (CNEE) and Energy + Environmental Economics (E3) (“E3 Pathways Report”).[[1]](#footnote-1) In some sectors, additional external data sources were used indirectly to benchmark or calibrate the emissions, including Rhodium Group’s ClimateDeck.[[2]](#footnote-2) The table below summarizes our data sources and methodology. These data are used directly to develop the Business as Usual (BAU) Scenario.

*Table 4: Data Sources*

| **Sector** | **Subsectors** | **Source** | **Methodology** | **Benchmarking Sources for Comparisons** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Electricity | In-state capacity and generation; out of state imports | For capacity and generation: EIA’s [Form 923](https://www.eia.gov/electricity/data/eia923/) and EIA’s [Form 860](https://www.eia.gov/electricity/data/eia860/)  For imports/exports: EIA’s State Electricity Profiles [Table 10](https://www.eia.gov/electricity/state/newmexico/state_tables.php). | Include all utility-owned generation and capacity in-state, including power plants on tribal land. No scaling needed.  Assumes all planned retirements *as of 2018* are completed on time. (Escalante and San Juan are retired in the 2021 Current Policy Scenario.)  Imports and exports from start year are held constant. | EPA “[State CO2 Emissions from Fossil Fuel Combustion](https://www.epa.gov/statelocalenergy/state-co2-emissions-fossil-fuel-combustion) 1990-2018”  EIA State Electricity Profile (2019)  Rhodium Group ClimateDeck (2020) |
| Building Energy Use | All energy use, all building components, residential and commercial buildings | [E3 Pathways Report](https://www.ethree.com/e3-forecasts-new-mexico-emissions-and-contributes-to-2020-new-mexico-climate-strategy/) | Take fuel-level consumption data for commercial/residential buildings directly from E3 report. Proportion to demand technologies based on NREL Electrification Futures Study.  [Assumes some equipment performance improvements over time](https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy18osti/70485.pdf), based on market data (described [here](https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy18osti/70485.pdf))[[3]](#footnote-3) | EIA “[State Energy Data System](https://www.eia.gov/state/seds/)” (2020)  “[State CO2 Emissions from Fossil Fuel Combustion](https://www.epa.gov/statelocalenergy/state-co2-emissions-fossil-fuel-combustion)”, EPA State Inventory Tool  Rhodium Group ClimateDeck (2020) |
| Industrial Energy Use | All fuel use for industrial sector, including oil & gas extraction and refining | [E3 Pathways Report](https://www.ethree.com/e3-forecasts-new-mexico-emissions-and-contributes-to-2020-new-mexico-climate-strategy/)  EIA Annual Energy Outlook Crude Oil Production ([Table 58](https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/aeo/data/browser/#/?id=71-AEO2021&region=0-0&cases=ref2021&start=2019&end=2050&f=A&linechart=ref2021-d113020a.8-71-AEO2021&ctype=linechart&sourcekey=0), Gulf Coast Petroleum Administration for Defense District [PADD])  EIA [State Energy Data Systems](https://www.eia.gov/state/seds/seds-data-fuel.php?sid=NV), [Natural Gas Consumed as Refinery Fuel](https://www.eia.gov/opendata/qb.php?category=40590&sdid=SEDS.NGRFB.NM.A) | Take fuel-level consumption data from E3 report. Proportion to industrial sectors based on industrial output multiplied by energy intensity.  For oil and gas production: oil production is first benchmarked to WESTAR-WRAP for 2018, and then interpolated to 2020 based on historic national crude production. Then 2021-2050 projected based on growth trajectory from AEO.  Assumes equipment performance improvements over time (described [here](https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy18osti/70485.pdf)) | NREL Electrification Futures and EIA’s “[State Energy Data Systems](https://www.eia.gov/state/seds/seds-data-fuel.php?sid=US)”  EPA “[State CO2 Emissions from Fossil Fuel Combustion](https://www.epa.gov/statelocalenergy/state-co2-emissions-fossil-fuel-combustion) 1990-2018”  [Climate TRACE](https://www.climatetrace.org/), including state-specific data[[4]](#footnote-4), for oil & gas emissions |
| Industrial Process Emissions | Process Emissions | [E3 Pathways Report](https://www.ethree.com/e3-forecasts-new-mexico-emissions-and-contributes-to-2020-new-mexico-climate-strategy/) | Take data directly from E3 report, no scaling needed.  Does not include implementation of the Kigali Amendment to the Montreal Protocol. | [Climate TRACE](https://www.climatetrace.org/), including state-specific data[[5]](#footnote-5), for oil & gas emissions |
| Agriculture, Land Use and Forestry | Livestock emissions  Natural carbon sinks and sources (LULUCF) | EPA “[State Inventory and Projection Tool](https://www.epa.gov/statelocalenergy/state-inventory-and-projection-tool)” | No scaling needed. EPA reports LULUCF emissions by state. | EPA “[State CO2 Emissions from Fossil Fuel Combustion](https://www.epa.gov/statelocalenergy/state-co2-emissions-fossil-fuel-combustion) 1990-2018” |
| Transportation | All energy use, vehicle miles | EIA’s [State Energy Data Systems](https://www.eia.gov/state/seds/) from 2019  [Energy Information Association’s Annual Energy Outlook tables on Energy Use](https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/aeo/tables_ref.php) (2021)  [NREL Electrification Futures Study - Reference Scenario](https://www.nrel.gov/analysis/electrification-futures.html) | Use vehicle stock by type forecasts from NREL. Estimate miles traveled by vehicle type using total energy consumption from SEDS, average miles traveled nationally by vehicle type, and national vehicle stock fuel efficiency.  2021 AEO includes 2020 updated Corporate Average Fuel Economy Standards (CAFE)  Includes federal EV subsidies and economic adoption of EVs[[6]](#footnote-6) | EPA “[State CO2 Emissions from Fossil Fuel Combustion](https://www.epa.gov/statelocalenergy/state-co2-emissions-fossil-fuel-combustion) 1990-2018”  Rhodium “[Taking Stock 2021: US Emissions Outlook Under Current Policy](https://rhg.com/research/taking-stock-2021/)” |

## Understanding the 2018 Business-as-Usual Projection and Additional Policy Scenarios

**2018 Business-as-Usual:** The BAU Scenario is the model’s foundation, capturing projected changes based on economic growth, technology and cost changes, and existing policy commitments. The BAU is chosen to represent pre-existing trends and state and federal policies present in 2018. This allows us to isolate the impacts of recent state policies since 2018.

**Spring 2021 Current Policy**: This scenario reflects “bankable” actions that have taken place since 2018. It includes all new legislation, newly announced generating plant retirements, enforceable commitments, and regulations that are already in place, focusing on incremental state legislation. It does not include measures that are pending, under consideration, or have unknown impact.

**Spring 2021 Proposed Policy**: This reflects the Current Policy Scenario plus any policies that have been proposed in previous climate action plans or other processes. This can also be considered a *projected* policy scenario: it includes optimistically extrapolated current policies of unknown impact. It also includes some additional federal policies expected to be fully reinstated under the Biden Administration.

**Fall 2021 Proposed Policy**: This scenario reflects the Spring 2021 Proposed Policy Scenario with additions based on July 2021 planning workshops held with the New Mexico Climate Action Teams.

The table below summarizes the policies included in the BAU and Additional Policy Scenarios.

**SUMMARY OF POLICY ASSUMPTIONS**

| **Sector** | **Strategy** | **Expressed as** | **2018 BAU** | **Spring 2021 Current Policy** | **Spring 2021 Proposed Policy** | **Fall 2021 Proposed Policy** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Electricity | Coal retirements | MW Retired | 1540 MW in 2031  (Four Corners) | 250 MW in 2020 (Escalante) +  850 MW in 2022 (San Juan) +  1540 MW in 2031 (Four Corners) | Same as Spring 2021 Current Policy | Same as Spring  2021 Current Policy |
| Clean electricity | % Clean Energy Standard (CES) | 20% by 2020 | 20% by 2020  50% by 2030  80% by 2040  100% by 204515 | Same as Spring 2021 Current Policy | 20% by 2020  80% by 2030  100% by 2040 |
| Renewable integration | Demand response (DR),  storage,  and transmission | *Downscaled projections from AEO* | 4% of storage potential in 2022  (300 MW for San Juan replacement) | 4% of storage potential in 2022 + 12% by 2030;  100% of DR potential by 2030;  10% increased transmission by 2040 | Same as Spring  2021 Proposed  Policy |
| Distributed solar | Subsidy for distributed solar | None | 10% | Same as Spring 2021 Current Policy | Distributed solar  increases to 5% of  retail sales by 2030 |
| Buildings | Energy efficiency | % energy reduction relative to BAU | None | 15% by 2030 | Same as Spring 2021  Current Policy | Same as Spring  2021 Current Policy |
| Energy efficiency | % of buildings retrofit | BAU building stock turnover | 5% of buildings additionally retrofit by 2050 | Same as Spring 2021  Current Policy | Same as Spring 2021 Current Policy |
| Building electrification | % electric fuel appliance switching sales19 | None | 10% by 2030 | Same as Spring 2021  Current Policy | 100% for space and water heating by 2030 |
| Transportation | Fuel efficiency | Light dutyvehicle (LDV) fuel economy | AEO 2021 | Increased 15% by 2026 (**"**California Compromise”) | Same as Spring 2021  Current Policy | Same as Spring 2021  Current Policy |
| Smart growth | % Passenger LDV vehicle miles traveled (VMT) reduction from BAU | None | None | 20% by 2030 | Same as Spring  2021 Proposed  Policy |
| Vehicle electrification | Number of new (DCFC) EV chargers | None | 20 per 100,000 people by 2040 | 140 per 100,000 by 2030 | Same as Spring  2021 Proposed  Policy |
| Vehicle electrification | ZEV sales standard for HDVs | None (sales reach 22% by 2030 from economic adoption) | Same as 2018 BAU | 60% by 2035 | 100% by 2035 |
| Vehicle electrification | ZEV sales standard for HDVs | None | Same as 2018 BAU | Same as 2018 BAU | 100% by 2045 |
| Clean vehicle fuels | LCFS Carbon Intensity Reduction | 0% | 0% | 0% | 20% |
| Industry | Fugitive  methane abatement | % of potential abatement of oil and gas methane | Flat emissions based on 2018 Inventory Baseline scenario | 80% for methane currently vented by  2026 | 80% for all methane leaks by 2030 | 60% for all methane  leaks by 2025 and  100% by 2028 |
| Energy efficiency | Oil & gas industries % reduction of fossil fuel consumption from BAU | None | Same as 2018 BAU | 10% by 2030 | Same as Spring  2021 Proposed  Policy |
| F-gas abatement | % of potential HFC reduction from BAU | None | Same as 2018 BAU | 100% substitution potential by 2035 and recycling and destruction potential by 2050 | Same as Spring  2021 Proposed  Policy |
| Oil & gas fuel decarbonization | % of petroleum refining CO2 captured | None | Same as 2018 BAU | Same as 2018 BAU | 50% by 2030 |
| Oil & gas fuel decarbonization | % of oil & gas extraction electrified | None | Same as 2018 BAU | Same as 2018 BAU | 50% by 2030 |
| Land use and  Agriculture | All strategies | All EPS measures except for changes in diet | None | None | 100% of potential by 2050 | Same as Spring  2021 Proposed Policy |

## Example Climate Mitigation Scenario: US NDC Scenario

In addition to the scenarios that RMI designed for New Mexico, the EPS also contains a “US NDC Scenario.” The US NDC Scenario was designed by Energy Innovation to result in an emissions pathway that meets the United States’ Nationally Determined Contribution to decarbonization broadly consistent with limiting global warming to 1.5°C by 2100.[[7]](#footnote-7) This pathway puts the US on track to reducing emissions 50 percent below a 2005 baseline by 2030 and achieving net zero emissions by 2050. This national scenario has been downscaled to each state, adjusting for differences in the state technology mix compared to the national technology mix. This policy scenario is illustrative and is meant to represent one set of policies that could be used to reduce emissions in line with a 1.5°C scenario.

*Table 8: Policy Assumptions in the US NDC Scenario*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Sector** | **US NDC Scenario** |
| Electricity | * Clean Electricity Standard of 80% by 2030, 100% by 2035 * Accelerate deployment of storage, transmission, and demand response * No new construction of coal and natural gas plants * Power plant retirements eliminate coal by 2030 * Electricity Sector CCS applied to remaining gas plants run for occasional balancing and reliability |
| Buildings | * 100% electric new appliances and buildings by 2030 (“building component electrification”) * 15% of existing buildings are retrofit by 2050, in addition to natural turnover * Efficiency improvement with ambition extended to 2050, plus additional efficiency improvements for building heating equipment and appliances |
| Transportation | * 100% electric new light-duty vehicle, motorbike, and bus sales by 2035 * 100% electric new medium- and heavy-duty truck sales by 2045 * 60% improvement in fuel economy standards for internal combustion engine light-duty vehicles by 2035, as well as a 50% improvement for buses, a 50% improvement for medium- and heavy-duty freight vehicles, a 60% improvement for aircraft, and a 25% improvement for rail and ships * 20% light-duty vehicle miles traveled reduced or shifted from BAU by 2050 * 6.3% reduction in truck freight transport by 2050 |
| Industry | * 100% achievement of cement clinker substitution by 2030 * 100% achievement of HFC emissions reductions from the Kigali Amendment to the Montreal Protocol * 14% improvement in industrial energy intensity/efficiency by 2050 * 100% by 2050 shift from fossil fuels to a mix of electricity and hydrogen, varying by industrial potential for each fuel type, by 2050 * 10% reduction in cement demand and 15% reduction in iron and steel demand from improved material efficiency policies by 2050 * 100% achievement of potential emissions reductions from methane capture and destruction in natural gas and oil, coal mining, water, and waste sectors by 2030 * 100% of hydrogen is produced via electrolysis by 2050 * 50% remaining industrial CO2 emissions captured and sequestered through CCS by 2050 |
| Land use and  Agriculture | * 100% achievement of potential additional carbon uptake from afforestation/reforestation measures, improved forest management, cropland measures, and livestock measures (such as requiring anaerobic digesters) by 2030 |

## About the Energy Policy Simulator

If you have questions about using the EPS, we recommend first watching our video series, available [here](https://us.energypolicy.solutions/docs/video-series.html).[[8]](#footnote-8) For further information on the EPS, contact us at [policy@energyinnovation.org](mailto:policy@energyinnovation.org). For more information on RMI analysis and our state advocacy support network contact us at [USAnalysis@rmi.org](mailto:USAnalysis@rmi.org).

The US EPS model is available for download online [here](https://us.energypolicy.solutions/docs/download.html).[[9]](#footnote-9) And full documentation on methodology and assumptions are available online [here](https://us.energypolicy.solutions/docs/index.html).[[10]](#footnote-10) The US state EPS models were developed as a partnership between Energy Innovation and RMI. RMI’s work supporting the state of New Mexico is funded by the US Climate Alliance. RMI’s development work related to the New Mexico EPS is supported by Bloomberg Philanthropies.
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